

PURPOSE

Conduct a two-phase study examining common green/environmental conservation (GE practices in school nutrition (SN) programs and the attitudes and behaviors of school nu directors to adopt GEC practices in the United States.

OBJECTIVES

- and the school district;

METHODOLOGY

Phase I

- was used to draft a GEC national survey.
- suggestions to revise the survey for Phase II.

Phase II

- implementing and sustaining GEC practices in schools.
- applicable).
- standard deviations, and frequencies).

School Nutrition Professionals' Perceptions, Barriers, & Benefits for Supporting GREEN/ENVIRONMENTAL Conservation Practices

• To examine SN professionals' attitudes/beliefs about their perceived roles in and response for supporting and contributing to green/environmental conservation efforts in the SN

• To identify the benefits and barriers for providing green/environmental conservation

• An expert panel of SN professionals (n=7) met to discuss the objectives of this study • The information collected from the expert panel, previous GEC research, and GEC lite The survey was reviewed by a panel of 19 SN professionals who provided comments ar

• The instrument was formatted as a scannable paper survey into two major sections that described GEC practices, roles of stakeholders, resources, perceptions, benefits and bar

• In the perception, benefits, and barrier sections, respondents rated their level of agreen with the statements using a Likert scale of 4 (strongly agree) to 1 (strongly disagree) or

• The survey was mailed to 700 SN professionals in seven USDA regions. • Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 17.0 and included descriptive statistics (

	RESULTS
EC)	• A total of 223 surveys (31.8%) were com
utrition	from all USDA regions.
	• The majority of respondents were SN dia
	area supervisors also represented.
onsibility	 School nutrition professionals:
N setting	 perceived "environmental conservat
	protection of the environment (3.38
practices.	 believed that the sustainability of GE
	support (3.32 ± 0.6).
	 School nutrition directors indicated that
	as nutrition educator (56.0%) and role n
	 The top perceived benefits for implement
erature	 providing a safe and healthier environment
	 encouraging students to adopt lifelor
nd	 Perceived barriers that have prevented the
	programs/schools included:
	 the lack of equipment and/or resour
- +	(2.8 ± 0.8) and
at	• cost (2.7 ± 0.9) .
arriers for	APPLICATION
ment	The results from this study will be used to
r 0 (not	 develop education resources and trainin
	identification of GEC practices in SN pr
	for implementing and sustaining GEC p
means,	 develop a resource to provide guidance
	sustaining GEC practices in SN program







Kristi L. Lewis, PhD, RDN Mary Frances Nettles, PhD, RD National Food Service Institute **Applied Research Division** The University of Southern Mississippi

npleted and returned with representation

irectors (89.0%) with SN managers and

tion" as supporting and promoting the ± 0.5), and

EC practices depends upon administrative

t their primary roles in GEC efforts were model (49.1%) to other school personnel. nting and sustaining GEC practices were: onment for students (3.2 ± 0.8) and ong conservation behaviors (3.2 ± 0.7) . the sustainability of GEC practices in SN

rces to support GEC practices

ng to assist SN professionals with the rograms and the identification of SN roles practices; and for implementing, evaluating, and

ns.